

# Addition of Palladium and Platinum Tri-*tert*-Butylphosphine Groups to Re–Sn and Re–Ge Bonds

Richard D. Adams,<sup>\*,†</sup> Burjor Captain,<sup>†</sup> Rolfe H. Herber,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Mikael Johansson,<sup>†</sup> Israel Nowik,<sup>‡</sup> Jack L. Smith, Jr.,<sup>†</sup> and Mark D. Smith<sup>†</sup>

Contribution from Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, and Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 91904 Jerusalem, Israel

Received June 29, 2005

The reaction of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ -C(H)=C(H)Bu<sup>n</sup>]( $\mu$ -H) with Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH at 68 °C yielded the new compound Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>-( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**10**) which contains two SnPh<sub>2</sub> ligands bridging two Re(CO)<sub>4</sub> groups, joined by an unusually long Re–Re bond. Fenske–Hall molecular orbital calculations indicate that the bonding in the Re<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub> cluster is dominated by strong Re–Sn interactions and that the Re–Re interactions are weak. The <sup>119</sup>Sn Mössbauer spectrum of **10** exhibits a doublet with an isomer shift (IS) of 1.674(12) mm s<sup>-1</sup> and a quadrupole splitting (QS) of 2.080(12) mm s<sup>-1</sup> at 90 K,characteristic of Sn(IV) in a SnA<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub> environment. The IS is temperature dependent, -1.99(14) × 10<sup>-4</sup> mm s<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>; the QS is temperature independent. The temperature-dependent properties are consistent with the known Gol'danskii–Kariagin effect. The germanium compound Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**11**) was obtained from the reaction of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ -C(H)=C(H)Bu<sup>n</sup>]( $\mu$ -H) with Ph<sub>3</sub>GeH. Compound **11** has a structure similar to that of **10**. The reaction of **10** with Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C yielded the bis-Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) adduct, Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (**12**); it has two Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) groups bridging two of the four Re–Sn bonds in **10**. Fenske–Hall molecular orbital calculations show that the Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) adducts, Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (**14**), were formed when **10** was treated with Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. A mono adduct of **11**, Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (**15**), was obtained similarly from the reaction of **11** with Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

## Introduction

Platinum-rhenium bimetallic catalysts have attracted great interest because of their superior properties for the important process known as petroleum reforming.<sup>1</sup> Tin is also widely used to modify the activity of noble metal catalysts,<sup>2</sup> and platinum-tin catalysts have been shown to exhibit superior properties for a variety of processes including petroleum

6346 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 44, No. 18, 2005

reforming.<sup>3,4</sup> Recent studies have shown that polynuclear metal complexes can be excellent precursors for new polymetallic nanoparticle catalysts.<sup>5</sup>

We have shown that bimetallic cluster complexes containing palladium and platinum can be easily prepared from the

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Adams@ mail.chem.sc.edu (R.D.A.); herber@VMS.HUJI.AC.IL (R.H.H.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of South Carolina.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Xiao, J.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *143*, 457. (b) Augustine, S. M.; Sachtler, W. M. H. J. Catal. **1989**, *116*, 184. (c) Nacheff, M. S.; Kraus, L. S.; Ichikawa, M.; Hoffman, B. M.; Butt, J.; Sachtler, W. M. H. J. Catal. **1987**, *106*, 263.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Huber, G. W.; Shabaker, J. W.; Dumesic, J. A. Science 2003, 300, 2075. (b) Holt, M. S.; Wilson, W. L.; Nelson, J. H. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 11. (b) Coupé, J. N.; Jordão, E.; Fraga, M. A.; Mendes, M. J. Appl. Catal. A 2000, 199, 45. (c) Hermans, S.; Raja, R.; Thomas, J. M.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Sankar, G.; Gleeson, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1211. (e) Hermans, S.; Johnson, B. F. G. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1955.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Cortright, R. D.; Levin, P. E.; Dumesic, J. A. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1998, 37, 1717. (b) Cortright, R. D.; Dumesic, J. A. J. Catal. 1994, 148, 771. (c) Cortright, R. D.; Dumesic, J. A. J. Catal. 1995, 157, 576. (d) Cortright, R. D.; Dumesic, J. A. Appl. Catal. A 1995, 129, 101. (e) Vilella, I. M. J.; de Miguel, S. R.; de Lecea, C. S.-M.; Linares-Solano, A.; Scelza, O. A. Appl. Catal. A 2005, 281, 247. (f) Rodriquez, D.; Sanchez, J.; Arteaga, G. J. Mol. Catal. A 2005, 228, 309. (g) Llorca, J.; Homs, N.; Leon, J.; Sales, J.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Ramierez de la Piscina, P. Appl. Catal. A 1999, 189, 77.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Burch, R. J. Catal. 1981, 71, 348. (b) Burch, R.; Garla, L. C. J. Catal. 1981, 71, 360. (c) Srinivasan, R.; Davis, B. H. Platinum Met. Rev. 1992, 36, 151. (d) Fujikawa, T.; Ribeiro, F. H.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Catal. 1998, 178, 58.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Thomas, J. M.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Raja, R.; Sankar, G.; Midgley, P. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 20. (b) Thomas, J. M.; Raja, R.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Hermans, S.; Jones, M. D.; Khimyak, T. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2003, 42, 1563. (c) Johnson B. F. G. Top. Catal. 2003, 24, 147. (d) Moreno-Manas, M.; Pleixats, R. Acc. Chem Res. 2003, 36, 638.

reactions of the palladium and platinum bis-tri-*tert*-butylphosphine compounds,  $M(PBu'_3)_2$ , M = Pd or Pt with preformed metal cluster complexes.<sup>6</sup> For example, the reaction of Pd(PBu'\_3)\_2 with the ruthenium carbonyl cluster complexes Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and Ru<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>17</sub>( $\mu_6$ -C) yields the adducts Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>[Pd(PBu'\_3)]<sub>3</sub> (1) and Ru<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>17</sub>( $\mu_6$ -C)[Pd(PBu'\_3)]<sub>2</sub> (2), respectively.<sup>6a</sup>



Pd(PBu'<sub>3</sub>) and Pt(PBu'<sub>3</sub>) groups can exhibit facile dynamical activity. For example, the Pt(PBu'<sub>3</sub>) adduct of Ru<sub>5</sub>(CO)<sub>15</sub>-( $\mu_5$ -C), Ru<sub>5</sub>(CO)<sub>15</sub>( $\mu_5$ -C)[PtPBu'<sub>3</sub>)] (**3**), exists as both open and closed isomers, **3a** and **3b**, of the metal clusters in solution, and they are in rapid equilibrium on the NMR time scale at room temperature (eq 1).<sup>6b</sup>



The bimetallic compounds  $Ir_6(CO)_{10}[Pt(PBu'_3)]_4$  (4)<sup>6c</sup> and  $Pt_3Re_2(CO)_6(PBu'_3)_3$  (5)<sup>6d</sup> were synthesized from the reactions of  $Pt(PBu'_3)_2$  with  $Ir_4(CO)_{12}$  and  $Re_2(CO)_{10}$ , respectively. Both are electronically unsaturated and were found to activate hydrogen under mild conditions.



We have also prepared a number of new bimetallic complexes containing tin ligands by the reactions of Ph<sub>3</sub>-SnH with transition metal carbonyl cluster complexes.<sup>7</sup> This process begins with the oxidative addition of the Sn–H bond to the transition metal clusters and is followed by the

cleavage of one or more phenyl groups from the tin atom to form bridging SnPh<sub>2</sub> ligands, bridging SnPh ligands, or both. The cleaved phenyl groups combine with the hydrogen atoms and are eliminated as benzene. For example, the complex  $Ru_5(CO)_8(C_6H_6)(\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ <sub>5</sub>-C) (**6**), formed from the reaction of Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH with  $Ru_5(CO)_{12}(C_6H_6)(\mu_5-C)$ , contains four SnPh<sub>2</sub> groups that bridge the Ru–Ru bonds along the base of an Ru<sub>5</sub> square pyramid.<sup>7a,b</sup> The addition of Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH to rhodium and iridium carbonyl cluster complexes yields products with an even higher tin content. For example, the complex  $Rh_3(CO)_3(SnPh_3)_3(\mu-SnPh_2)_3(\mu_3-SnPh)_2$  (7), formed from the reaction of Rh<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, contains eight tin ligands: three terminal SnPh<sub>3</sub> groups, three edge-bridging SnPh<sub>2</sub> groups, and two triply bridging SnPh groups.<sup>7c</sup> It has also been shown that Ph3GeH can engage in similar reactions with metal carbonyl clusters to yield products such as  $Ru_5(CO)_{11}(\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu_5$ -C) (8) and Ir<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>- $(\mu_4$ -GePh)<sub>2</sub> (9), which contain bridging GePh<sub>2</sub> ligands.<sup>8</sup> Compound 9 also contains two quadruply bridging GePh ligands.



Herein, we demonstrate for the first time that rhenium can be chemically combined both with platinum or palladium and tin to make the first ternary ReSnM complexes, M =Pd or Pt, which could potentially be used as precursors to stoichiometrically precise ReSnM catalysts. A preliminary report on this work has been published.<sup>9</sup>

## **Experimental Section**

**General Data.** All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. Reagent grade solvents were dried by the standard procedures and were freshly distilled prior to use. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo-Nicolet Avatar 360 FT-IR spectro-photometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury spectrometer operating at 400.1 MHz. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W.; Hall, M. B.; Manson, J.; Smith, M. D.; Webster, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5253. (b) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W.; Pellechia, P. J.; Smith, M. D. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 2094. (c) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Hall, M. B.; Smith, J. L., Jr.; Webster, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 1007. (d) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Pellechia, P. J.; Smith, J. L., Jr.; Webster, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2531. (e) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Pellechia, P. J.; Smith, J. L., Jr. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 2695. (f) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W.; Smith, J. L., Jr. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 3921. (h) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Smith, M. D.; Webster, C. E. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 3921. (h) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Smith, M. D., Smith, M. D., Smith, M. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 682, 113. (j) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Pellechia, P. J.; Zhu, L. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 7243.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W.; Smith, M. D. Inorg. Chem.
2002, 41, 2302. (b) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W.; Smith, M. D.
Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 5593. (c) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Smith, J. L., Jr.; Hall, M. B.; Beddie, C. L.; Webster, C. E. Inorg. Chem.
2004, 43, 7576.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Fu, W. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *42*, 1328.
(b) Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Smith, J. L., Jr. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, *44*, 1413.

<sup>(9)</sup> Adams, R. D.; Captain, B.; Johansson, M.; Smith, J. L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 489.

recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 spectrometer operating at 162.0 MHz and were externally referenced against 85% *ortho*-H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Elemental analyses were performed by Desert Analytics (Tucson, AZ). Product separations were performed by TLC in air on Analtech 0.5 mm silica gel 60 Å  $F_{254}$  glass plates. Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>, Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were obtained from Strem Chemicals, Inc. Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH and Ph<sub>3</sub>GeH were purchased from Aldrich and were used without further purification. Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-C(H)=C(H)Bu<sup>n</sup>]( $\mu$ -H) was prepared according to a previously reported procedure.<sup>10</sup>

Synthesis of  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8(\mu-\text{SnPh}_2)_2$  (10). Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH (200 mg, 0.57 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of hexane, and the solution was then added to a solution of  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8[\mu-\eta^2-\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})\text{Bu}^n](\mu-\text{H})$  (75 mg, 0.11 mmol) in 30 mL of hexane. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2 h. After the mixture was cooled, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was separated by TLC using a 3:1 hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvent mixture to yield 64.8 mg (52% yield) of yellow  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8(\mu-\text{SnPh}_2)_2$  (10). Spectral data for 10. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane):  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  2060 (m), 2008 (s), 1981 (m), 1972 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  7.69 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.43 (m, 12 H, Ph). Anal. Calcd: 33.65, C; 1.76, H. Found: 34.02, C; 2.06, H.

Synthesis of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (11). Ph<sub>3</sub>GeH (170 mg, 0.57 mmol) was added to a solution of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-C(H)=C(H)Bu<sup>n</sup>]-( $\mu$ -H) (75 mg, 0.11 mmol) in 30 mL of heptane. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2 h. After the mixture was cooled, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product was separated by TLC using a 3:1 hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvent mixture to yield 37.1 mg (32% yield) of pale yellow Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (11). Spectral data for 11. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane):  $\nu$ <sub>CO</sub> 2068 (m), 2011 (s), 1987 (m), 1982 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  7.63 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.40 (m, 12 H, Ph). Anal. Calcd: 36.60, C; 1.92, H. Found: 36.37, C; 2.17, H.

**Synthesis of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(\mu-SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (12). Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (56 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added to a solution of <b>10** (25 mg, 0.022 mmol) in 25 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the product was separated by TLC using a 2:1 hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvent mixture to yield 24.9 mg (67%) of orange Re<sub>2</sub>-(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (**12**). Spectral data for **12**. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane):  $\nu_{CO}$  2074 (w), 2047 (w), 2035 (w), 1998 (s), 1994 (m), 1980 (s), 1962 (m), 1955 (vs), 1821 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  7.79 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.30 (m, 12 H, Ph), 1.24 (d, 54 H, CH<sub>3</sub>, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>P-H</sub> = 13 Hz). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  85.4 (s, 2 P). Anal Calcd: 38.22, C; 4.24, H. Found: 37.85, C; 3.93, H.

Synthesis of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(*u*-SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)] (13) and Re<sub>2</sub>-(CO)<sub>8</sub>(*µ*-SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (14). Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (40 mg, 0.067 mmol) was added to a solution of 10 (25 mg, 0.022 mmol) in 25 mL of hexane. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 6 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the products were separated by TLC using a 2:1 hexane/CH2Cl2 solvent mixture to yield, in order of elution, 12.8 mg (38% yield) of orange Re2(CO)8(µ-SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)] (13) and 1.0 mg (2% yield) of red Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>- $(\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (14). Spectral data for 13. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane):  $\nu_{CO}$  2075 (w), 2046 (w), 1997 (s), 1980 (m), 1962 (m), 1789 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 7.76 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.38 (m, 12 H, Ph), 1.32 (d, 27 H, CH<sub>3</sub>,  ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 13$  Hz).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$  NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  118.6 (s, 1 P,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 5932$  Hz). Anal. Calcd: 34.32, C; 3.08, H. Found: 34.67, C; 2.91, H. Spectral data for 14. IR ( $cm^{-1}$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v<sub>CO</sub> 2047 (vw), 2021 (w), 1974 (s), 1942 (m), 1776 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 7.79 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.28 (m, 12 H, Ph), 1.28 (d, 54 H, CH<sub>3</sub>,  ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 13$  Hz).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$  NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  139.5 (s, 2 P,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 6048$  Hz). MS: e/z 1977 (M + K), 1938 (M<sup>+</sup>).

(10) Nubel, P. O.; Brown, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 644.

Synthesis of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)] (15). Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (75 mg, 0.125 mmol) was added to a solution of 11 (25 mg, 0.024 mmol) in 25 mL of octane. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After the mixture was cooled, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was separated by TLC by using a 2:1 hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvent mixture to yield 6.2 mg (18% yield) of orange Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)] (15). Spectral data for 15. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexane):  $\nu_{CO}$  2081 (w), 2051 (w), 2000 (s), 1984 (m), 1970 (m), 1786 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  7.77 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.30 (m, 12 H, Ph), 1.26 (d, 27 H, CH<sub>3</sub>, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>P-H</sub> = 13 Hz). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  114.7 (s, 1 P, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 5868 Hz). Anal. Calcd: 36.51, C; 3.27, H. Found: 37.20, C; 3.34, H.

Crystallographic Analyses. Yellow crystals of 10 (triclinic form) and 11 suitable for X-ray diffraction analyses were grown by slow evaporation of solutions in hexane/CH2Cl2 solvent mixtures at 5 °C. Yellow crystals of 10 (monoclinic form), orange crystals of 12, 13, and 15, and red crystals of 14 were grown from solutions in benzene/octane solvent mixtures at 5 °C. Each data crystal was glued onto the end of a thin glass fiber. X-ray intensity data were measured with a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The raw data frames were integrated with the SAINT+ program<sup>11</sup> using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. Corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects were also applied with SAINT+. An empirical absorption correction based on the multiple measurement of equivalent reflections was applied in each analysis by using the program SADABS. All structures were solved by a combination of direct methods and difference Fourier syntheses and refined by the fullmatrix least-squares method on  $F^2$  using the SHELXTL software package.12 All non-hydrogen atoms in the main residue were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and included as standard riding atoms during the least-squares refinements. Crystal data, data collection parameters, and the results of the analyses are listed in Tables 1-3.

Crystals of **10**, grown from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane, crystallized in the triclinic crystal system. The space group  $P\bar{1}$  was assumed and confirmed by the successful solution and refinement of the structure. Crystals of **10** grown from benzene/octane crystallized in a monoclinic modification. The systematic absences in the data were consistent with the space groups C2/c and Cc. The former was chosen and confirmed by the successful solution and refinement of the structure.

Compounds 11 and 14 crystallized in the monoclinic crystal system. For 11, the systematic absences in the data were consistent with the space groups *C*2, *Cm*, and *C*2/*m*. The space group *C*2/*m* was chosen initially and confirmed by the successful solution and refinement of the structure. For 14, the space group  $P2_1/c$  was identified uniquely on the basis of the systematic absences in the intensity data. The crystal of 14 contains two molecules of benzene from the crystallization solvent that cocrystallized with the complex. Both benzene molecules were successfully located and refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Compounds 12 and 15 crystallized in the triclinic crystal system. In each case, the space group  $P\overline{1}$  was assumed and confirmed by the successful solution and refinement of the structure.

The crystal for compound **13** was identified as a nonmerohedral twin composed of two domains related by a  $180^{\circ}$  rotation around the reciprocal [0 -1 0] axis. The GEMINI<sup>13</sup> program was used to

<sup>(11)</sup> SAINT+, version 6.2a; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.

<sup>(12)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, version 6.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1997.

Table 1.	Crystal	llographic	Data fo	r Compou	nd 10
----------	---------	------------	---------	----------	-------

empirical formula fw	$Re_2Sn_2O_8C_{32}H_{20}$ 1142.26	$Re_2Sn_2O_8C_{32}H_{20}$ 1142.26	$Re_2Sn_2O_8C_{32}H_{20}$ 1142.26
crvst svst	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
lattice parameters			
a (Å)	9.5962(5)	12.4615(8)	12.7904(6)
$b(\mathbf{A})$	12.6495(7)	10.7519(7)	10.8809(5)
c (Å)	14.5038(8)	23.8216(15)	24.0014(12)
$\alpha$ (deg)	104.3420(10)	90	90
$\beta$ (deg)	90.5590(10)	100.8370(10)	102.2830(10)
$\gamma$ (deg)	105.3800(10)	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	1639.25(15)	3134.8(3)	3263.8(3)
space group	$P\overline{1}$	C2/c	C2/c
Ż	2	4	4
$\rho_{\rm calc} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	2.314	2.42	2.325
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	8.914	9.322	8.954
temp (K)	296(2)	100(2)	296(2)
$2\Theta_{\rm max}$ (deg)	56.56	56.60	56.56
no. of observations	6488	3741	3597
no. of params	397	199	199
GOF <sup>a</sup>	1.019	1.214	1.068
max shift in cycle	0.002	0.001	0.001
residuals: <sup>b</sup> R1, wR2	0.0398, 0.0956	0.0192, 0.0498	0.0211, 0.0536
abs correction	SADABS	SADABS	SADABS
max/min	1.000/0.485	0.155/0.121	0.639/0.143
largest peak (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	2.484	0.572	0.906

<sup>a</sup> GOF =  $[\sum_{hkl}w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/(n_{data} - n_{vari})]^{1/2}$ . <sup>b</sup> R1 =  $\sum_{hkl}(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\sum_{hkl}|F_o|$ ; wR2 =  $[\sum_{hkl}w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/\sum_{hkl}wF_o]^{1/2}$ ;  $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ .

Table 2. Crystallographic Data for Compounds 11, 12, and 14

	11	12	14
empirical formula	$Re_2Ge_2O_8C_{32}H_{20}$	$Re_2Sn_2Pd_2P_2O_8C_{56}H_{74}$	$Re_2Pt_2Sn_2P_2O_8C_{56}H_{74} \cdot 2C_6H_6$
fw	1050.06	1759.67	2093.27
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
lattice parameters			
a (Å)	14.0667(11)	10.8119(7)	14.0204(7)
b (Å)	10.6056(8)	12.4429(8)	14.6505(7)
c (Å)	12.0970(10)	12.9946(8)	17.9884(9)
$\alpha$ (deg)	90	80.1170(10)	90
$\beta$ (deg)	117.3840(10)	68.9880(10)	108.7180(10)
$\gamma$ (deg)	90	71.9270(10)	90
$V(Å^3)$	1602.5(2)	1547.85(17)	3499.5(3)
space group	C2/m	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$
Z	2	1	2
$\rho_{\text{calc}}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	2.176	1.888	1.987
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	9.435	5.357	8.226
temp (K)	296(2)	296(2)	296(2)
$2\Theta_{\rm max}$ (deg)	50.04	50.06	50.06
no. of observations	1410	4901	5434
no. of paramrs	124	334	388
GOF <sup>a</sup>	1.152	1.042	1.048
max shift in cycle	0.001	0.000	0.001
residuals <sup>b</sup> : R1, wR2	0.0254, 0.0593	0.0365, 0.0887	0.0229, 0.0571
abs correction	SADABS	SADABS	SADABS
max/min	0.686/0.490	0.852/0.610	0.518/0.153
largest peak (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	1.204	1.809	1.226

 ${}^{a} \operatorname{GOF} = [\sum_{hkl} w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / (n_{data} - n_{vari})]^{1/2}, {}^{b} \operatorname{R1} = \sum_{hkl} (||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|) / \sum_{hkl} |F_{o}|; w \operatorname{R2} = [\sum_{hkl} w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \sum_{hkl} w(F_{o})]^{1/2}; w = 1 / \sigma^{2} (F_{o}).$ 

determine the orientation matrices for the twin domains. The intensity data were processed with SAINT version 7.00 for multicomponent crystals.<sup>11</sup> In real space, the reflection indices for the two domains are related by the twin law (by rows)  $[1 \ 0 \ 0/0 \ -1 \ 0/-0.649 \ 0 \ -1]$ . The data were corrected for absorption effects with the TWINABS subroutine in SAINT. An initial structural model was obtained by direct methods using the reflections from the major twin component only. This model was subsequently refined against the twinned data with excellent results (R1 = 0.038), using SHELXTL.<sup>12</sup> All data from both domains were used in the refinement. On the basis of the batch scale factor for the two

domains, the data crystal is composed of 76.7% of the major domain and 23.3% of the minor domain. The compound crystallizes in the space group  $P2_1/n$ , and there is one complete complex in the asymmetric unit. The final difference map extrema are +0.99/- $1.12 e^{-} Å^{-3}$ , located near the Re(1) atom.

**Molecular Orbital Calculations.** All molecular orbital calculations reported herein were performed by using the Fenske–Hall method.<sup>14</sup> The calculations were performed utilizing a graphical user interface developed<sup>15</sup> to build inputs and view outputs from stand-alone Fenkse–Hall (version 5.2) and MOPLOT2<sup>16</sup> binary executables. Contracted double- $\zeta$  basis sets were used for the Re 5d, Pd 4d, Sn 5p, Ge 4p, P 3p, and C and O 2p atomic orbitals.

<sup>(13)</sup> *GEMINI*, version 1.02; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1999.

<sup>(14)</sup> Hall, M. B.; Fenske, R. F. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 768.

Table 3. Crystallographic Data for Compounds 13 and 15<sup>a</sup>

	13	15
empirical formula	Re2PtSn2PO8C44H47	Re2PtGe2PO8C44H47
fw	1539.66	1447.46
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic
lattice parameters		
a (Å)	11.5715(5)	11.7436(4)
b (Å)	23.1038(10)	14.5720(5)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.8097(7)	14.9178(6)
α (deg)	90	105.0460(10)
$\beta$ (deg)	102.1550(10)	109.3420(10)
$\gamma$ (deg)	90	94.8710(10)
$V(Å^3)$	4654.6(3)	2284.91(14)
space group	$P2_1/n$	$P\overline{1}$
Z	4	2
$\rho_{\rm calc} ({\rm g}~{\rm cm}^{-3})$	2.197	2.104
$\mu$ (Mo Ka) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	9.318	9.713
temp (K)	100(2)	296(2)
$2\Theta_{\rm max}$ (deg)	50.06	56.61
no. of observations	9569	9535
no. of params	524	532
GOF <sup>a</sup>	1.016	1.027
max shift in cycle	0.001	0.002
residuals <sup>b</sup> : R1, wR2	0.0381, 0.0745	0.0321, 0.0694
abs correction	SADABS	SADABS
max/min	1.000/0.569	0.379/0.248
largest peak (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.992	1.658

<sup>*a*</sup> GOF =  $[\sum_{hkl}(w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/(n_{data} - n_{vari})]^{1/2}$ . <sup>*b*</sup> R1 =  $\sum_{hkl}(||F_o| - |F_c|)/(n_{data} - n_{vari})]^{1/2}$ ; *w* R1 =  $\sum_{hkl}(w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/(n_{data} - n_{vari})]^{1/2}$ ; *w* =  $1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ .

Hydrogen was used in place of both phenyl and *tert*-butyl groups in these calculations. The Fenske-Hall scheme is a nonempirical approximate method that is capable of calculating molecular orbitals for very large transition metal systems and has built-in fragment analysis routines that allow one to assemble transition metal cluster structures from the ligand-containing fragments.

Mössbauer Measurements. A sample of 10, consisting of vellow crystals as received, was transferred to a plastic sample holder with minimum exposure to the laboratory environment, rapidly cooled to 78 K, loaded into the precooled cryostat, and subjected to Mössbauer spectroscopic (ME) examination as a function of temperature. While such ME spectra yield reliable values for the two pertinent hyperfine interactions, the isomer shift (IS) and the quadrupole splitting (OS), they are not appropriate for the determination of the lattice dynamical properties of the Sn atom because of the large "texture effect" (i.e., the preferential crystal orientation with respect to the optical axis of the ME measurements). The initial data so acquired will be referred to as 10a. After an initial set of measurements, the large crystals were warmed to room temperature, thoroughly ground to a fine powder, and mixed with boron nitride before being replaced in the sample holder to overcome this limitation. This procedure reduces the texture effect to a minimal value and permits an accurate determination of the vibrational properties of the metal atom (Sn) as a function of temperature, as will be discussed below. The data acquired with this sample will be referred to as 10b. The remainder of the ME experimental procedure was as described earlier<sup>17</sup> with the addition of the use of a real-time digital output monitoring program, which permitted temperature control to better than  $\pm 0.1$  K over the time interval of the ME measurements. Spectrometer calibration was



**Figure 1.** ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8(\mu-\text{SnPh}_2)_2$ , **10** (from the triclinic crystalline form), showing 40% thermal ellipsoid probability.

effected using an  $\alpha$ -Fe absorber at room temperature. All IS values are reported with respect to the centroid of a BaSnO<sub>3</sub> absorber spectrum acquired at 296 K. The minimal line widths observed in the ME spectra are on the order of 0.82 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, and the difference between this value and the theoretical minimum width (0.642 mm s<sup>-1</sup>) is ascribed to radiation effects in the two year old <sup>119m</sup>Sn source, as well as to minor extraneous line broadening because of uncontrolled environmental effects.

### **Results and Discussion**

Very few examples of rhenium—tin complexes have been reported to date.<sup>18</sup> The new dirhenium-ditin complex Re<sub>2</sub>-(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**10**) was obtained in a 52% yield from the reaction of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-C(H)=C(H)Bu<sup>n</sup>]( $\mu$ -H) with an excess of Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH at 68 °C. The analogous germanium complex Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**11**) was obtained similarly in a 32% yield from the reaction of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-C(H)=C(H)-Bu<sup>n</sup>]( $\mu$ -H) with Ph<sub>3</sub>GeH at 97 °C (eq 2).



Both compounds were characterized by a combination of IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, elemental, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. Compounds **10** and **11** are isostructural in the solid state, but they are not isomorphous. In fact, compound **10** was obtained in two crystalline modifications: a monoclinic (C2/c) and a triclinic  $(P\overline{1})$  form (both different from that of **11**). Both forms were investigated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. Diffraction data for the triclinic form were collected at 296 K, and data for the monoclinic form were collected at both 296 and 100 K. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of **10** (triclinic form) is shown in Figure 1. The molecular structure of **11** is not significantly different. Selected intramolecular distances and angles are listed in Table 4. Both compounds contain two Re(CO)<sub>4</sub> groups that are linked by two bridging XPh<sub>2</sub> ligands, X =

<sup>(15)</sup> Manson, J.; Webster, C. E.; Hall, M. B. *JIMP*, development version 0.1.v117 (built for Windows PC and Redhat Linux); Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University: College Station, TX; http:// www.chem.tamu.edu/jimp/, accessed July 2004.

<sup>(16)</sup> MOPLOT2 for orbital and density plots from linear combinations of Slater or Gaussian type orbitals, version 2.0; Dennis L. Lichtenberger, Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona: Tucson, AZ, 1993.
(17) Nowik, I.; Herber, R. H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2000, 310, 191.

<sup>(18) (</sup>a) Preut, H.; Haupt, H.-J.; Florke, U. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1984, 40, 600. (b) Haupt, H.-J.; Balsaa, P.; Schwab, B.; Florke, U.; Preut, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1984, 22, 513. (c) Narayanan, B. A.; Kochi, J. K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1986, 122, 85. (d) Westerberg, D. E.; Sutherland, B. E.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1642. (e) Huie, B. T.; Kirtley, S. W.; Knobler, C. B.; Kaesz, H. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 213, 45.

Table 4. Selected Intermolecular Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compounds 10 and 11<sup>a</sup>

compound 10					compound 1	1	
<i>P1</i> at 296 F	K	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i> at 296	K	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i> at 100	K	C2/m at 296	K
Re(1)-Re(1)* Re(1)-Sn(1) Re(1)-Sn(1)*	3.1971(4) 2.7429(4) 2.7675(5)	Re(1)-Re(1)* Re(1)-Sn(1) Re(1)-Sn(1)*	3.1685(3) 2.7567(3) 2.7643(3)	Re(1)-Re(1)* Re(1)-Sn(1) Re(1)-Sn(1)*	3.1542(3) 2.7491(3) 2.7682(3)	Re(1)-Re(1)* Re(1)-Ge(1) Re(1)-Ge(1)*	3.0510(6) 2.5923(6) 2.5923(6)
C-O(ave) Re(1)-Sn(1)-Re(1)* Re(1)*-Re(1)-Sn(1) Re(1)*-Re(1)-Sn(1)* Sn(1)-Re(1)-Sn(1)* Sn(2)-Re(1)-Sn(1)*	1.14(1) 70.926(11) 54.895(10) 54.179(9) 109.074(11) 177.2(12)	$\begin{array}{c} C-O (ave) \\ Re(1)-Sn(1)-Re(1)* \\ Re(1)*-Re(1)-Sn(1) \\ Re(1)*-Re(1)-Sn(1)* \\ Sn(1)-Re(1)-Sn(1)* \\ Re-C-O (ave) \end{array}$	1.14(1) 70.045(7) 55.088(6) 54.866(6) 109.955(7)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Re}(1)-\text{Sn}(1)-\text{Re}(1)^{*}\\ \text{Re}(1)^{*}-\text{Re}(1)-\text{Sn}(1)\\ \text{Re}(1)^{*}-\text{Re}(1)-\text{Sn}(1)^{*}\\ \text{Sn}(1)-\text{Re}(1)-\text{Sn}(1)^{*}\\ \text{Sn}(2)-\text{Re}(1)-\text{Sn}(1)^{*}\\ \text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2) \\ \text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2) \\ \text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2) \\ \text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text{Re}(2)-\text$	1.14(1) 69.735(7) 55.416(6) 54.849(5) 110.265(7) 177.2(6)	Re(1)-Ge(1)-Re(1)* $Re(1)*-Re(1)-Ge(1)$ $Re(1)*-Re(1)-Ge(1)*$ $Ge(1)-Re(1)-Ge(1)*$ $Ba-C-O(ava)$	1.13(1) 72.10(2) 53.951(11) 53.951(11) 107.90(20)
(ave)	177.2(12)	Re C O (ave)	177.2(7)	Re C O (ave)	177.5(0)	Re C O (ave)	1//.1(/)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure are given in parentheses.



**Figure 2.** <sup>119</sup>Sn Mössbauer spectrum of **10** at 88.5 K. The isomer shift is with reference to a room-temperature BaSnO<sub>3</sub> absorber spectrum.

Sn and Ge for 10 and 11, respectively. According to electron counting procedures, they should both contain a Re-Re single bond so that each rhenium atom can attain the usual 18-electron configuration. The Re-Re distance in 10, however, is quite long, 3.1685(3) Å, in the monoclinic from at 296 K and is even longer, 3.1971(4) Å, in the triclinic form at 296 K, but it is still short enough to allow for some direct Re-Re interactions and the pairing of the unpaired electron on each  $Re(CO)_4$  group, see the molecular orbital calculations below for details. The fact that the Re-Re distance is different by nearly 0.03 Å in the two crystalline modifications at room temperature is consistent with the notion that this bond is not a strong one. The only differences between the molecules in the two crystalline forms of 10 are the weak intermolecular packing forces and the rotational orientations of the phenyl rings on the tin ligands. The Re-Re bond in **11** (3.0510(6) Å) is significantly shorter than that in 10 and only slightly longer than that found for the unbridged Re–Re single bond in Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> (3.042(1) Å).<sup>19</sup>

**Mössbauer Spectrum of 10.** A typical <sup>119</sup>Sn ME spectrum of the finely ground crystalline sample of **10b** at 88.5 K is shown in Figure 2. As expected, the spectrum consists of a simple doublet with well-separated components. The area ratio, R = A(+)/A(-) (where the + and - correspond to the spectrum centroid), of this spectrum is 1.002(4), indicating the high efficacy of the grinding procedure discussed

Table 5. Mössbauer Parameters and Derived Values for 10

parameter		Т	units	multiplier
IS (90) QS (90)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.674 \pm 0.012 \\ 2.080 \pm 0.012 \end{array}$		$mm s^{-1}$ $mm s^{-1}$	
-dIS/dT	$1.99 \pm 0.15$	88–250 K	$mm s^{-1} K^{-1}$	$10^{-4}$
$-d \ln A/dT$	$16.8 \pm 1.2$	88-250 K	$K^{-1}$	$10^{-3}$
$\Theta_{\rm M}$	$47 \pm 2$		Κ	
$M_{\rm eff}$	$209 \pm 14$		Da	
$k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$	$1.89\pm0.03$	88.5 K		
	$2.58\pm0.05$	150 K		
	$3.57 \pm 0.13$	210 K		
	$4.29\pm0.28$	250 K		

above. The hyperfine parameters and their temperature dependencies are summarized in Table 5. The IS value of 1.674(12) mm s<sup>-1</sup> at 90 K is consistent with the Sn atom being in the +IV oxidation state, and the QS value of 2.080-(12) mm s<sup>-1</sup> is characteristic of the geometry of the SnA<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub> nearest-neighbor environment. A  $C_2$  axis of symmetry passes through the tin atoms and bisects the Re-Re bond. These hyperfine parameters may be compared to those of Ph<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> of similar geometry for which IS is 1.385(27) mm s<sup>-1</sup> and QS is 2.841(24) mm s<sup>-1</sup> at 80 K.<sup>20</sup> The temperature dependence of the IS value is summarized graphically in Figure 3 in which the open and full points reflect the data for the crystalline (10a) and powder samples (10b), respectively. This temperature dependence over the accessible temperature range (89 < T < 150 K) is reasonably well fit by a linear regression (slope =  $-1.99(14) \times 10^{-4}$  mm s<sup>-1</sup>  $K^{-1}$ , cc = 0.94 for 16 data points) that leads to an effective  $mass^{21}$  ( $M_{eff}$ ) of 209(14) Da. The difference between this value and the "bare" Sn atom mass reflects the covalency of the tin-ligand bonding interactions. As will be discussed below,  $M_{\rm eff}$  is related to the dynamics of the Sn atom in **10b**.

In contrast, the QS parameter is not a temperaturedependent quantity over the accessible temperature range and all data lie within an error limit of  $\pm 0.032$  mm s<sup>-1</sup> of the mean. This temperature independence is readily understood on the basis of the X-ray data at 100 and 296 K, which show that the Re–Sn–Re and C–Sn–C bond angles change by only 1.19 and 2.90 degrees, respectively, over this temperature interval. Similarly, the Re–Sn and Sn–C bond

<sup>(20) (</sup>a) Herber, R. H. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1973, 35, 67. (b) Debye, N. W. G.; Linzer, M. J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 61, 4770. (c) Williams, D. E.; Kocher, C. W. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 1480. (d) Herber, R. H.; Leahy, M. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 67, 2718.

<sup>(19)</sup> Churchill, M. R.; Amoh, K. N.; Wasserman, H. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 1609.

<sup>(21)</sup> Herber, R. H. In *Chemical Mössbauer Spectroscopy*; Herber, R. H., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1984; Chapter VII.



**Figure 3.** Temperature dependence of the isomer shift of **10**. The open data points refer to the crystalline sample; the closed data points are for the finely ground sample.



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the logarithm of the area under the resonance curve (normalized to the 90 K value) for 10b.

distances change by only 0.0062 and 0.0050 Å, respectively, and these changes are within the error limits of the ME experiments.

The temperature dependence of the area under the resonance curve, which for a thin randomly oriented absorber is identical to the temperature dependence of the recoil-free fraction, f, is summarized graphically in Figure 4 for 10b. The ln A data show some curvature, especially at low temperatures. It should be noted that, for a thin absorber, f=  $\exp(-k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle)$  where k is the wave vector of the <sup>119m</sup>Sn gamma ray and has a numerical value of  $1.210 \times 10^9$  cm and  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  is the mean-square amplitude-of-vibration of the Mössbauer active atom. From the high-temperature limiting slope of d  $\ln(f/dT)$  and that of the temperature dependence of the IS, it is possible to calculate<sup>21</sup> the effective Mössbauer lattice temperature,  $\Theta_{M}$ , and for **10b**, this is found to be to be 47(4) K. This unusually low value for  $\Theta_M$  is reflected in the limited temperature range over which the ME data could be acquired. In this context, it is appropriate to note that  $k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$  is also calculable from the  $U_{i,j}$  values obtained in a single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiment. For 10b, these data have been determined at 100 and 296 K (see Supporting Information) and are 1.56 and 5.91, respectively. The lowtemperature ME data give  $k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle = 1.7(1)$  at 100 K. Extrapolation of the high-temperature ME data to 296 K



**Figure 5.**  $k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$  parameters of **10**. The open data points refer to the values parallel to the  $C_{2\nu}$  axis, while the closed data points pertain to the average perpendicular values. The line corresponds to the  $k^2 \langle x^2_{ave} \rangle$  for  $M_{eff} = 209$  Da and  $\Theta_M = 78$  K. The two star symbols are the values calculated from the X-ray crystallographic data at 100 and 296 K.

yields a value of 5.0(3) for this parameter. The satisfactory agreement between these values, keeping in mind the limitation in the temperature range accessible to the ME measurements of such samples, validates the dynamical analysis reported in the present study. In this context, it is worth noting that the IS and QS parameters of **10a** and **10b** are identical (within experimental errors). The area ratio, R, which is unity in the low-temperature limit, becomes a temperature-dependent quantity at higher temperatures. This temperature-dependent phenomenon, known as the Gol'danskii-Kariagin effect (GKE),<sup>22</sup> results from the anisotropy of the metal atom motion and has been observed in numerous <sup>57</sup>Fe and <sup>119</sup>Sn ME spectra. From the magnitudes of R and f at a given temperature, it is possible to calculate this vibrational anisotropy. The pertinent data for 10b are summarized in Figure 5. The open data points pertain to  $k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$  parallel to the 2-fold symmetry axis passing through the metal atom and bisecting the Re-Re bond, while the filled data points reflect the data for the perpendicular values. Moreover, the two parameters are related to  $k^2 \langle x^2_{ave} \rangle$  by the relationship  $k^2 \langle x^2_{\text{ave}} \rangle = \frac{1}{3} k^2 \langle x^2_{\text{para}} \rangle + \frac{2}{3} k^2 \langle x^2_{\text{perp}} \rangle$ , assuming approximate axial symmetry. Since the ME data at 88.5 K show that R =1.002, the tin atom motion at this temperature must be nearly isotropic, consistent with the 100 K X-ray  $U_{i,i}$  values which are 11(1), 13(1), and 8(1)  $\times$  10<sup>-19</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> respectively, with the off-diagonal elements effectively equal to zero. The  $k^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$ data derived from the ME experiments (which are limited to  $T < \sim 260$  K because of the small values of f at the higher temperatures) can be fitted separately to a second-order polynomial function, and this procedure yields a value of 4.52 for  $k^2 \langle x^2_{\text{para}} \rangle$  and a value of 5.58 for  $k^2 \langle x^2_{\text{perp}} \rangle$  at 296 K, indicative of a significant vibrational anisotropy of the tin at this temperature. The differences in the root-mean-square

<sup>(22) (</sup>a) Gol'danskii, V. I.; Makarov, E. F. In *Chemical Applications of Mössbauer Spectroscopy*; Gol'danskii, V. I., Herber, R. H., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1968; pp 102–107 and references therein.
(b) Herber, R. H.; Nowik, I. *Solid State Sci.* 2002, *4*, 691. (c) Herber, R. H.; Nowik, I. *Hyperfine Interact.* 2001, *136–137*, 699. (d) Herber, R. H.; Chandra, S. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, *54*, 1847.



**Figure 6.** Coordinate system used for the Fenske–Hall molecular orbital calculations.



Figure 7. Molecular orbital energy level diagram for the Re(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragment.

amplitude-of-vibration of the Sn atom are  $\sim 0, 0.057, 0.103$ , and 0.149 Å at 88.9, 150, 200, and 250 K, respectively.

Molecular Orbital Calculations on Re<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub> and Re<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. We have previously shown that the Rh-Rh and Ir-Ir bonds are unusually long when bridged by a SnPh<sub>2</sub> group.<sup>7c</sup> To examine the effect of the two edge-bridging SnPh2 and GePh2 groups on the rhenium-rhenium single bond in 10 and 11, we performed Fenske-Hall molecular orbital calculations. For these calculations, SnH<sub>2</sub> and GeH<sub>2</sub> groups were used in place of the complete SnPh<sub>2</sub> and GePh<sub>2</sub> ligands in **10** and 11, respectively. A schematic of the coordinate system used for these calculations is given in Figure 6. The Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>-(SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> cluster was constructed from two Re(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragments and two SnH<sub>2</sub> fragments. This model possesses  $D_{2h}$  symmetry, and the discussion of its bonding interactions will be based on this symmetry point group. The  $d^7 Re(CO)_4$ fragment, which has  $C_{2\nu}$  local symmetry, contains three lowlying filled orbitals derived from the " $t_{2g}$ " set of  $O_h$  symmetry and two higher-lying orbitals derived from the "eg" set which contains one electron, see Figure 7. There is considerable p character in the singly occupied HOMO  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital and s and p character in the LUMO  $d_{r^2}$  orbital. These metal orbitals interact with the carbonyl  $2\pi^*$  (LUMO) orbital.

A linear combination of the two Re(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragments in a dinuclear Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> unit having  $D_{2h}$  symmetry is shown in Figure 8. The two sets of three low-lying orbitals are transformed into nondegenerate orbitals of b<sub>1u</sub>, 1a<sub>g</sub>, and b<sub>2g</sub> symmetry (which are Re–Re bonding orbitals) and of a<sub>u</sub>,



**Figure 8.** Energy level diagram for the Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> fragment.

 $b_{3g}$ , and  $1b_{2u}$  symmetry (which are Re–Re antibonding orbitals). These six orbitals are all filled. The two sets of two higher-lying orbitals are transformed into four nondegenerate orbitals of  $b_{3u}$ ,  $2a_g$ ,  $b_{1g}$ , and  $2b_{2u}$  symmetry. The HOMO ( $b_{3u}$ ) and LUMO ( $2a_g$ ) are Re–Re bonding orbitals. The  $b_{1g}$  and  $2b_{2u}$  are unfilled antibonding orbitals.

The key orbitals on the SnH<sub>2</sub> fragment are the HOMO "sp<sup>2</sup>"-like hybrid orbital and the LUMO  $p_y$  orbital that lies perpendicular to the SnH<sub>2</sub> plane. The orbitals for the combined [SnH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> fragment (under  $D_{2h}$  symmetry) are derived from the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the sp<sup>2</sup>-like hybrid orbital (a<sub>g</sub> and b<sub>3u</sub>) and the  $p_y$  orbital (b<sub>2u</sub> and b<sub>1g</sub>), see right-hand side of Figure 9.

The orbitals of the  $Re_2(CO)_8$  fragment combine with orbitals of the [SnH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> fragment of appropriate symmetry and energy to generate the bonding MOs for the  $Re_2(CO)_8$ -(SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> cluster (Figure 9). Favorable interactions of orbitals from the  $[SnH_2]_2$  unit lowers the energy of the unoccupied 2ag and b1g orbitals on the Re2(CO)8 fragment, and these orbitals are then filled by four electrons that are donated by the SnH<sub>2</sub> groups. As was the case with the Rh<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>(SnH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>- $(\mu$ -SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> model cluster reported in a previous report,<sup>7c</sup> the metal-metal bonding of 10 is dominated by strong Re-Sn interactions. This can be seen in the contour diagrams for the  $b_{1g}$  (HOMO),  $2a_g$ ,  $1b_{2u}$ ,  $1a_g$ , and  $b_{3u}$  orbitals shown in Figures 10-12. Significant Re-Re interactions are found only in the  $2a_g$  orbital (the HOMO – 2, Figure 11), which explains why the Re-Re distance is unusually long. The  $b_{3g}$ ,  $a_u$ ,  $b_{2g}$ , and  $b_{1u}$  orbitals on the  $Re_2(CO)_8$  fragment have no interactions with the orbitals on the [SnH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> fragment.

As noted above, the Re–Re bond distance in **11** is considerably shorter than that in **10**. For comparison, we have also calculated the energies of the Fenske–Hall molecular orbitals of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GeH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> using the positional parameters for **11** for all nonhydrogen atoms. A correlation diagram that compares the energies of the MOs for the Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -GeH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> model clusters is shown in Figure 13. For comparison, we will focus on the b<sub>1g</sub> (HOMO) and the 2a<sub>g</sub> (HOMO – 2). The b<sub>3g</sub> (HOMO – 1) has the



$$\label{eq:relation} \begin{split} Re_2(CO)_8 & Re_2(CO)_8(SnH_2)_2 & [SnH_2]_2 & SnH_2 \\ \mbox{Figure 9. Energy level diagram for the $Re_2(CO)_8(\mu-SnH_2)_2$ model cluster.} \end{split}$$



Figure 10.  $b_{1g}$  HOMO of the  $Re_2(CO)_8(SnH_2)_2$  model cluster showing strong Re–Sn bonding.

same energy in both model compounds. Both the  $b_{1g}$  and  $2a_g$  orbitals are significantly lower in energy in the  $Re_2(CO)_8$ - $(\mu$ -GeH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> model, but most interestingly, we see that the  $2a_g$  orbital was lowered by 0.7 eV on going from the Sn compound to the Ge compound, while the  $b_{1g}$  orbital was lowered by only 0.6 eV. Because the  $2a_g$  orbital is predominantly Re–Re bonding, this would indicate that the Re–Re bond in the Ge form is stronger than that in the Sn form. This is consistent with the observation of a shorter Re–Re bond distance in **11** than in **10**.

**Reaction of 10 with Pd(PBu'\_3)\_2.** The importance of the Re–Sn bonding in **10** is further demonstrated by its reaction with Pd(PBu'\_3)\_2. The reaction of **10** with an excess of Pd-(PBu'\_3)\_2 at 25 °C yielded the complex Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-



**Figure 11.** Contour diagram for the  $2a_g$  molecular orbital. This orbital contains the only significant Re–Re bonding in the cluster.

[Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (12) in 67% yield. Compound 12 was characterized by a combination of IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR, elemental, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of **12** is given in Figure 14. Selected intramolecular distances and angles are listed in Table 6. In the solid state, the compound is crystallographically centrosymmetrical. This compound can be viewed as a bis-Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) adduct of **10** because no ligands were lost from 10 during the course of the reaction. The structure contains two  $Pd(PBu_3^t)$  groups that bridge the oppositely positioned Re-Sn bonds of the starting cluster **10**. One carbonyl ligand from each of the original  $Re(CO)_4$ groups in 10 has moved into a semi-bridging position on each of the Re-Pd bonds. The Re(1)-Pd(1) bond distance (2.8580(5) Å) is similar in length to one of the two Re-Pd bonds found in the compound  $[(\eta^5-C_4H_4BPh)(CO)_3RePd]_2$  $(2.866(1) \text{ Å})^{23}$  (the other Re–Pd bond in this compound is much shorter, 2.666(1) Å) but longer than those observed for the compounds  $[NEt_4]_2[Re_7(CO)_{21}{Pd(\eta^3-PhC_3H_4)}(C)]$ (2.872(5) Å, average)<sup>24</sup> and [RePd(CO)<sub>3</sub>{Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}- $(\eta^{5}-7-CB_{10}H_{11})$ ] (2.7858(4) Å).<sup>25</sup> The Pd–Sn bond distance (2.7185(7) Å) is significantly longer than those in the literature (e.g., 2.6082(3) Å as observed for the compound Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>2</sub>)(µ-H)SnMe<sub>3</sub>).<sup>26</sup> The addition of the Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) groups does not significantly alter the length of the palladium bridged Re-Sn bonds (from 2.7675(5) Å in 10 to 2.7674(5) Å in 12), but curiously, the two Re-Sn bonds not directly associated with the palladium atom, Re-(1)-Sn(1)\*, have increased in length substantially (from 2.7429(4) Å in 10 to 2.8215(5) Å in 12). The Re-Re bond distance (3.262(1) Å) is even longer than that found in **10**.

**Molecular Orbital Calculations on Re<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>.** The most interesting feature of the bonding of Pd(PBu<sup>*t*</sup><sub>3</sub>) and Pt(PBu<sup>*t*</sup><sub>3</sub>) groups in the adducts, such as **1**, **2**, and **12**, is that the palladium atom is electron deficient and is formally a zeroelectron donor.<sup>6a,c,g</sup> In **12**, the palladium–metal bonds that

- (24) Henly, T. J.; Wilson, S. R.; Shapley, J. R. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 2551.
- (25) Blandford, I.; Jeffrey, J. C.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1998, 17, 1402.
- (26) Trebbe, R.; Schager, F.; Goddard, R.; Pörschke, K.-R. Organometallics 2000, 19, 521.

<sup>(23)</sup> Braunstein, P.; Englert, U.; Herberich, G. E.; Neuschütz Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1010.



Figure 12. Contour diagrams for the 1b<sub>2u</sub>, 1a<sub>g</sub>, and b<sub>3u</sub> orbitals, respectively, showing Re-Sn bonding.







**Figure 14.** ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8(\mu-\text{SnPh}_2)[M(\text{PBu}'_3)]_2$  (M = Pd for **12** and Pt for **14**) showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability.

are formed utilize electrons provided by the  $Re_2Sn_2$  cluster, and certain of these bonds are thus delocalized and intrinsically electron deficient. To understand how the bridging palladium phosphine groups bond to the  $Re_2Sn_2$  cluster **12**, we performed Fenske-Hall molecular orbital calculations.

**Table 6.** Selected Intermolecular Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compounds **12** and **14**<sup>*a*</sup>

1				
12		14		
Re(1)-Re(1)*	3.262(1)	Re(1) - Re(1)*	3.2008(3)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Sn}(1)$	2.7674(5)	Re(1)-Sn(1)	2.7730(4)	
Re(1)-Sn(1)*	2.8215(5)	Re(1)-Sn(1)*	2.8078(3)	
Pd(1)-Re(1)	2.8580(5)	Pt(1) - Re(1)	2.7972(2)	
Pd(1)-Sn(1)	2.7185(7)	Pt(1)-Sn(1)	2.8166(4)	
Pd(1) - P(1)	2.4093(17)	Pt(1) - P(1)	2.3084(12)	
C-O (ave)	1.14(2)	C-O (ave)	1.15(1)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Sn}(1) - \operatorname{Pd}(1)$	133.46(2)	Re(1) - Sn(1) - Pt(1)	126.406(12)	
$Re(1) - Sn(1) - Re(1)^*$	71.409(13)	Re(1)-Sn(1)-Re(1)*	69.993(9)	
$Pd(1) - Re(1) - Sn(1)^*$	164.370(18)	$Pt(1) - Re(1) - Sn(1)^*$	159.520(11)	
$Sn(1) - Re(1) - Sn(1)^*$	108.591(13)	$Sn(1) - Re(1) - Sn(1)^*$	110.007(9)	
Re-C-O (ave)	175.8(12)	Re-C-O (ave)	176.6(7)	

 $^{\it a}$  Estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure are given in parentheses.

 $Pd(PH_3)$  groups were used instead of the actual  $P(Bu_3^t)_3$ groups found in 12. These groups were added to the MO model developed above for 10. The key orbitals of the Pd- $(PH_3)$  fragment consist of the empty sp<sub>z</sub> hybrid orbital and the filled  $d_{z^2}$  orbital. An energy level diagram for the resulting  $\operatorname{Re}_{2}(\operatorname{CO})_{8}(\mu-\operatorname{SnH}_{2})_{2}[\operatorname{Pd}(\operatorname{PH}_{3})]_{2}$  cluster (using approximate  $C_{2h}$ symmetry) is shown in Figure 15. Viewed in combination, the two Pd(PH<sub>3</sub>) groups form a pair of filled  $a_{g}$  and  $b_{u}$  orbitals from the  $d_{z^2}$  orbitals and a similar pair of empty  $a_g$  and  $b_u$ orbitals from the sp hybrid orbitals. These ag orbitals interact with the  $3a_g$  HOMO (in the  $C_{2h}$  symmetry) of the Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>- $(\mu$ -SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> fragment to form the 3a<sub>g</sub> orbital (HOMO) and the  $2a_g$  orbital (HOMO - 2). A contour diagram of the  $3a_g$ orbital (Figure 16) shows that the Re-Sn overlap decreases for the bonds not directly associated with the palladium, and this is consistent with the observed lengthening of these bonds in 12. The  $2a_g$  orbital (Figure 17), which contains the major direct Re-Re interactions, also contains considerable interactions with the two Pd atoms consisting mainly of the  $1a_g$  orbital on the  $[Pd(PH_3)]_2$  fragment. The only other molecular orbital of interest is the  $2b_{\mu}$  orbital (Figure 18), which could be viewed as two three-center interactions between the Pd(PH<sub>3</sub>) fragments and the associated Re-Sn bonds. This type of interaction is similar to the one observed previously for the Ir<sub>4</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>[Pt(PH<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> model cluster.<sup>6c</sup> Bonding interactions between the palladium and tin atoms in the frontier orbitals are small, which could explain why the Pd-Sn bonds are so long.



 $\label{eq:relation} \begin{array}{lll} Re_2(CO)_8(SnH_2)_2 & Re_2(CO)_8(SnH_2)_2[PdPH_3]_2 & [PdPH_3]_2 \\ \hline \mbox{Figure 15. Energy level diagram for the $Re_2(CO)_8(\mu-SnH_2)_2[Pd(PH_3)]_2$ model cluster.} \end{array}$ 



**Figure 16.** The antibonding  $3a_g$  HOMO of the  $Re_2(CO)_8(\mu$ -SnH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pd-(PH<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> cluster.

Addition of Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) Groups to 10 and 11. Compound 10 also reacted with Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at 68 °C to yield both the mono- and bis-Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) adducts Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt-(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)] (13) and Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>( $\mu$ -SnPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> (14) in 38 and 2% yields, respectively. Unfortunately, only trace amounts of 14 were obtained and efforts to increase the yield were unsuccessful. Both compounds were characterized by



Figure 17. 2ag molecular orbital showing Re-Re and Re-Pd bonding.



Figure 18. Anti-symmetric  $2b_u$  molecular orbital showing the three-center bonding between the Pd(PH<sub>3</sub>) groups and the Re-Sn bond.

a combination of IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}-NMR, and singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analyses. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of **13** is given in Figure 19. Selected intramolecular bond distances and angles are listed in Table 7. The structure of **13** consists of a single Pt(PBu'<sub>3</sub>) group that occupies a bridging position on the Re(1)–Sn(1) bond of the starting cluster **10**. A single carbonyl ligand bridges the Re–Pt bond. The Re(1)–Pt(1) bond distance is 2.7932-(5) Å is similar in length to the nonhydride bridged Re–Pt bond distances found in Re<sub>2</sub>Pt(CO)<sub>8</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -H)<sub>2</sub> (2.788(1) Å),<sup>27</sup> [Re<sub>3</sub>Pt(CO)<sub>14</sub>( $\mu$ -H)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (2.7778(14) Å and 2.849(2) Å),<sup>28</sup> Re<sub>2</sub>Pt(CO)<sub>8</sub>(COD)( $\mu$ -H)<sub>2</sub> (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) (2.895-(1) and 2.741(1) Å),<sup>29</sup> and Re<sub>3</sub>Pt(CO)<sub>14</sub>( $\mu$ -H)<sub>3</sub> (2.776(1) Å).<sup>29</sup>

<sup>(27)</sup> Beringhelli, T.; Ceriotti, A.; D'Alfonso, G.; Della Pergola, R. Organometallics 1990, 9, 1053.

<sup>(28)</sup> Bergamo, M.; Beringhelli, T.; D'Alfonso, G.; Ciani, G.; Moret, M.; Sironi, A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1637.

<sup>(29)</sup> Antognazza, P.; Beringhelli, T.; D'Alfonso, G.; Minoja, A. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1777.



**Figure 19.** ORTEP diagram of the molecular structures of  $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_8(\mu-\text{XPh}_2)[\text{Pt}(\text{PBu}'_3)]$  (X = Sn for **13** and Ge for **15**) showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability.

**Table 7.** Selected Intermolecular Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compounds 13 and  $15^a$ 

13		15		
Re(1)-Re(2)	3.2034(6)	Re(1)-Re(2)	3.0927(3)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Sn}(1)$	2.8113(8)	$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Ge}(1)$	2.6439(5)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Sn}(2)$	2.7343(8)	Re(1)-Ge(2)	2.5773(5)	
$\operatorname{Re}(2) - \operatorname{Sn}(1)$	2.8143(8)	$\operatorname{Re}(2)-\operatorname{Ge}(1)$	2.6661(5)	
$\operatorname{Re}(2) - \operatorname{Sn}(2)$	2.7364(9)	Re(2)-Ge(2)	2.5765(5)	
Pt(1)-Re(1)	2.7932(5)	Pt(1)-Re(1)	2.8428(3)	
Pt(1)-Sn(1)	2.7505(8)	Pt(1)-Ge(1)	2.6324(5)	
Pt(1) - P(1)	2.321(2)	Pt(1) - P(1)	2.3337(13)	
C-O (ave)	1.14(3)	C-O (ave)	1.15(2)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Re}(2) - \operatorname{Sn}(1)$	55.246(18)	$\operatorname{Re}(1)$ - $\operatorname{Re}(2)$ - $\operatorname{Ge}(1)$	54.045(12)	
$\operatorname{Re}(1) - \operatorname{Re}(2) - \operatorname{Sn}(2)$	54.126(18)	$\operatorname{Re}(1)$ - $\operatorname{Re}(2)$ - $\operatorname{Ge}(2)$	53.137(12)	
Pt(1)-Re(1)-Re(2)	110.453(17)	Pt(1) - Re(1) - Re(2)	110.643(8)	
Pt(1)-Sn(1)-Re(2)	124.78(3)	Pt(1)-Ge(1)-Re(2)	134.33(2)	
Pt(1)-Re(1)-Sn(2)	159.22(2)	Pt(1)-Re(1)-Ge(2)	162.714(15)	
Re-C-O (ave)	177(1)	Re-C-O (ave)	177(1)	

 $^{\it a}$  Estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure are given in parentheses.

The Pt(1)-Sn(1) bond distance is 2.7505(8) Å. As in **10**, the Re-Re bond is very long, 3.2034(6) Å. The distances between both rhenium atoms and the platinum-bridged tin atom, Sn(1), are considerably longer, 2.8143(8) Å and 2.8113(8) Å, than the distances between the rhenium atoms and Sn(2), 2.7364(9) Å and 2.7343(8) Å. The latter are slightly shorter than those observed in **10**. The lengthening of the Re-Sn bonds to Sn(1) may be the result of electron delocalization caused by the presence of the bridging Pt-(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) group.

Compound 14 is isostructural with 12, see Figure 14. Selected intramolecular bond distances and angles are listed in Table 6. The lengthening effect observed for the unbridged Re–Sn bonds in 12 is also present in 14 (Re(1)–Sn(1) = 2.8078(3)), however the effect is not as large. The platinumbridged Re–Sn bonds (2.7730(4) Å) and the Pt–Re bonds (2.7972(2) Å) are all similar in length to those observed in 12. Unlike the addition of Pd(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) to 10, the addition of the Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) groups does not cause a significant increase in the Re–Re bond distance (3.2034(6) Å for 13 and 3.2008-(3) Å for 14).

Compound **11** also reacted with  $Pd(PBu'_3)_2$  at room temperature, but the products obtained were not sufficiently



stable to be isolated and characterized. However, a mono- $Pt(PBu_{3}^{t})$  adduct of **11**,  $Re_{2}(CO)_{8}(\mu-GePh_{2})_{2}[Pt(PBu_{3}^{t})]$  (**15**), was obtained in an 18% yield from its reaction with  $Pt(PBu_{3}^{t})_{2}$  at 127 °C. Under these conditions, there was no evidence for the formation of a bis-Pt(PB $u_3$ ) adduct of 11, and reactions at higher temperatures resulted only in uncharacterizable decomposition products. Compound 15 was characterized by a combination of IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}-NMR, elemental, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. This compound is isostructural with its tin homolog, 13, see Figure 19. Selected intramolecular bond distances and angles are listed in Table 7. The platinum atom bridges the Re(1)-Ge(1) bond. The Re(1)-Pt(1) bond distance (2.8428(3) Å)is even longer than that in 13. The Pt(1)-Ge(1) distance of 2.6324(5) Å is significantly longer than that observed for typical Pt–Ge single bonds e.g., Pt-Ge = 2.4495(7) Å in cis-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>30</sup> 2.437(1) Å in PtEt(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>- $Ph_{2}$ <sup>31</sup> and 2.4400(4) Å in PtH(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>32</sup> indicating that it is very weak. The Re-Re bond distance in 15 (3.0927-(3) Å) is significantly longer than in 11 (3.0510(6) Å). As with 13, the distances between the rhenium atoms and the platinum-bridged Ge(1) atom have increased significantly in length to 2.6439(5) Å and 2.6661(5) Å, while the distances between the rhenium atoms and Ge(2) are slightly shorter (2.5765(5) Å and 2.5773(5) Å) than those observed in 11 (Re-Ge = 2.5923(6) Å). As with 13, the increase in all bond distances associated with the platinum atom can be attributed to the delocalization of the electron density in those bonds into the new bonds formed by the associations with the platinum atom. The addition of  $Pd(PBu_{3}^{t})$  and  $Pt(PBu_{3}^{t})$ groups to 10 and 11 are summarized in Scheme 1. We were not able to isolate and characterized any mono-Pd(PB $u_3^t$ ) adducts of 10 or 11, but in the formation of 12, a mono adduct must undoubtedly be traversed.

#### Conclusions

Compounds 10 and 11 further expand the chemistry involving the multiple addition of tin and germanium ligands to metal carbonyl cluster complexes. Compounds 12-15extend the families of complexes with electron deficient metal-metal bonds formed by the addition of M(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) (M = Pd, Pt) groups to metal cluster compounds. These compounds represent the first examples of adduct formations between these M(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>) groups and transition metal-main group metal bonds. Fenske-Hall molecular orbital calculations have been performed to help to understand the unusual

<sup>(30)</sup> Ozawa, F.; Hikida, T.; Hasebe, K.; Mori, T. Organometallics 1998, 17, 1018.

<sup>(31)</sup> Hasebe, K.; Kamite, J.; Mori, T.; Katayama, H.; Ozawa, F. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 2022.

<sup>(32)</sup> Habereder, T.; Nöth, H. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 17, 525.

metal-metal bonding in these complexes. The metal-metal bonding in **10** is dominated by the Re-Sn interactions. The Re-Re interaction is weak, and the Re-Re distance is unusually long. The Pd-Sn, Pt-Sn, and Pt-Ge bonds are also weak. Interestingly, in **12** the unbridged Re-Sn bonds were noticeably weakened when the Pd(PBu'<sub>3</sub>) group was added to the neighboring Re-Sn bond.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-00ER14980 and the USC Nanocenter. We thank Strem for a donation of a sample of

Pt(PBu<sup>t</sup><sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. The authors thank Drs. M. B. Hall and C. E. Webster for instruction in the use of the Fenske-Hall molecular orbital program package and Prof. W. Glaberson and Dr. Brettschneider for the use of their DASWIN program (available at www.Phys.HUJI.AC.IL/~glabersn). M.J. thanks the Swedish Research Council for support.

**Supporting Information Available:** CIF files are available for each of the structural analyses. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC051077X